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Introduction

• Over the past 50 years Singapore has become an aged society

• Intergenerational support plays a key role in the well being of older adults

• In Singapore, in 1986, 97% of older women and 86% of older men received money/material support from family (Chen and Jones 1989)

• In 1995 monetary support remained virtually the same (Biddlecome et al. 2002)
Background

• Earlier studies focused mainly on monetary support

• Later studies emphasized other forms of support – physical care, instrumental help, housework help

• More recent studies examine bi-directional flows and reciprocity of exchanges

• Typically, being older, female, unmarried, less educated, having lower income, poorer health status are associated with higher likelihood of receiving support. But what about giving?
Background (cont’d)

Gender
• Key variable but with inconsistent findings
• Effect varies by context, type of support and is changing over time

Marital status
• Often interacts with gender
• Focus has mostly been on widowed elderly
• Fewer studies on divorced or never married elderly
Research Questions

1. What are the current levels of intergenerational support by
   • type and direction among older Singaporeans in 2011?

2. Are there differences between elderly men and women in their
   • likelihood of receiving and providing different types of support?

3. Are single – widowed, divorced, or never married – elderly
   mainly recipients and less likely to provide support compared to
   married elderly?

4. Does the effect of marital status on intergenerational support
   vary by gender?
Data

2011 Panel on Health and Ageing of Singaporean Elderly (PHASE II)
- Second wave of a longitudinal survey on the health of community-based older Singaporeans
- N=3,103 elderly aged 62 and above
Outcome Variables

Receipt and provision of 4 complementary types of *intergenerational* (children, grandchildren, nephews, nieces) support:

1. Monetary
2. Material
3. Time
4. Emotional
Questions regarding monetary and material support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receipt</th>
<th>Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monetary</td>
<td>Monetary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the past 12 months, have you received</td>
<td>In the past 12 months, have you provided money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>money from any of your family members, other</td>
<td>to any of your family members, other than your</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>than your spouse?</td>
<td>spouse?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the past 12 months, did you receive food,</td>
<td>In the past 12 months, did you provide food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clothes, or other material goods from any of</td>
<td>or clothes to any of your family members, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>your family members, other than your spouse?</td>
<td>than your spouse?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Since respondents were asked who they receive/provide from/to, in our analyses we exclude receipt/provision from/to parents, parents-in-law, aunts/uncles, siblings, siblings-in-law
Questions regarding time support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receipt</th>
<th>Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the past 12 months, did you receive ... from any of your family members, other than your spouse?</td>
<td>In the past 12 months:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Housework help</td>
<td>1. Have you provided assistance to baby-sit your grandchildren?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Help with ADL’s</td>
<td>2. Did you provide housework help or help with cooking to any of your family members, other than your spouse?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Help with IADL’s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Since respondents were asked who they receive/provide from/to, in our analyses we exclude receipt/provision from/to parents, parents-in-law, aunts/uncles, siblings, siblings-in-law
Question regarding emotional support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receive/provide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the past 12 months, did you receive/provide emotional support or advice from/to any of your family members, other than your spouse?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Since respondents were asked who they receive/provide from/to, in our analyses we exclude receipt/provision from/to parents, parents-in-law, aunts/uncles, siblings, siblings-in-law.
Predictor Variables

Key variables:
- Gender (Male 45%; Female 55%)
- Marital status
  - Married (62%)
  - Widowed (30%)
  - Divorced or Separated (3%)
  - Never married (5%)

Covariates:
- Age, number of living children, ethnicity, education, work status, housing type, home ownership, perceived financial adequacy, any disability, any major physical illness
Statistical Analyses

• Descriptive statistics

• Multivariate logistic regression
  • 8 dichotomous outcome variables
  • Receipt of 4 types of support and provision of 4 types of support
  • Adjusted effects of gender and marital status
  • Separate models for male/female and tested interactions between gender and marital status
Figure 1. Percent of elderly receiving or providing support

Source: PHASE 2011, elderly 62+
Figure 2. Bi-directional flows of intergenerational support

Source: PHASE 2011, elderly 62+
Table 1. The effects of gender and marital status on receipt and provision of monetary and material support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monetary</th>
<th></th>
<th>Material</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receive</td>
<td>Provide</td>
<td>Receive</td>
<td>Provide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.02***</td>
<td>2.18***</td>
<td>1.34*</td>
<td>1.49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference: married)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>0.21***</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHASE 2011, elderly 62+
Significance levels: ***P<.001; **P<.01; *P<.05
Notes: Results presented are logistic regression odds ratios adjusting for age, number of living children, ethnicity, education, work status, housing type, home ownership, any disability, any major physical illness.
## Table 2.
The effects of gender and marital status on receipt and provision of time and emotional support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status (Reference: married)</th>
<th>Monetary</th>
<th></th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receive</td>
<td>Provide</td>
<td>Receive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.55**</td>
<td>2.27***</td>
<td>1.51**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.47**</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHASE 2011, elderly 62+
Significance levels: ***P<.001; **P<.01; *P<.05
Notes: Results presented are logistic regression odds ratios adjusting for age, number of living children, ethnicity, education, work status, housing type, home ownership, any disability, any major physical illness.
Discussion

• 75% of older Singaporeans continue to receive money from subsequent generations
  • However, this has declined from 91% in 1995
• 20 to 40% receive material, time, emotional support.
• Elderly are not only recipients but also providers of considerable support
  • For monetary support it was 28% in 1995 compared to 35% in 2011
• There is a large segment of older persons who neither receive nor provide any support
  • For monetary support it was 7% in 1995 compared to 18% in 2011
Discussion (cont’d)

• Older women are most likely to receive and provide support compared to older men.

• Though widowed older adults are less likely to provide monetary support, they are significantly more likely to provide time support.

• Never married elderly are significantly less likely to receive and provide monetary support and are less likely to receive emotional support.
Limitations

• Cross-sectional analyses.

• Receipt and provision is based on self-reports: there may be over or underreporting of certain types of support by sub groups of elderly.

• We do not analyze amount of support.

• Focus on intergenerational support may exclude important forms of support for never married elderly (siblings, friends, neighbours).
Conclusions

- Older adults increasingly form an important part of the family support structure in changing social and economic environment
- Increased proportion of older adults (particularly women) providing monetary support to children raises concerns for their financial security at oldest ages
- Large proportion of older adults who neither receive nor provide monetary support warrants further study as a group
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