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## PREFACE

The Government has strengthened its social safety net over the last decade by investing heavily in education, healthcare, housing, employment and retirement adequacy for Singaporeans. During COVID-19, the Government introduced additional support schemes to further strengthen the social safety net during this extraordinary time. There are broad-based schemes and subsidies that benefit many, while a network of government and community agencies provides targeted assistance for low-income and vulnerable groups who need additional help. Within these multiple layers of assistance, ComCare continues to play a critical role in supporting low-income households with their living expenses.

This report provides an overview of the profile of households assisted by ComCare over the last five financial years.

## DEFINITIONS

## Applicants

- "Individual assisted" refers to any household member assisted by ComCare.
- "Main applicant" refers to the household member who applied for and was assisted by ComCare.
- Each household assisted by ComCare has one main applicant and may have one or more individuals assisted.


## Educational Qualifications

- "No formal/primary qualification" refers to those who have no formal education qualification or received primary-level education, including those who did and did not pass the Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE).
- "Secondary qualification" refers to those who received secondary-level education, including those who did and did not pass the GCE "O" or "N" Level.
- "Post-secondary qualification (non-tertiary)" refers to those who have ITE/vocational education or professional qualifications.
- "Post-secondary qualification (tertiary)" refers to those who have polytechnic, university, or post-graduate qualifications.
- "Not reported" refers to instances where no information was available on the individuals' education qualifications.


## Employment Status

- "Working" refers to those who were holding full-time or part-time jobs.
- "Seeking employment" refers to those who were not working but were looking for jobs.
" "Not working - Medically unfit" refers to those who were certified to be unfit for work.
- "Not working - Others" refers to those without regular employment or were not working for other reasons (e.g. caregiving) except medical reasons or retirement.
- "Not reported" refers to instances where no information was available on the individuals' employment status.


## Marital Status

- "Not reported" refers to instances where no information was available on the individuals' marital status.


## Dwelling Type

- "Institutions" refer to homes and centres which provide specialised care, e.g. nursing homes and elderly aged homes.
- "Not reported" refers to instances where no information was available on the individuals' dwelling type.
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## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SMTA : ComCare Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance scheme

LTA : ComCare Long-Term Assistance scheme (also known as Public Assistance)
SCFA : Student Care Fee Assistance scheme

For more information on ComCare schemes, please refer to https://msf.gov.sg/ComCare.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
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## SALIENT TRENDS

The overall number of unique households assisted yearly with SMTA, LTA and SCFA was stable at around 37,000 to 39,000 per year from FY2016 to FY2019 and increased significantly to 46,000 in FY2020. The total number of households assisted yearly with LTA (at around 4,100 to 4,400 ) and SCFA (at around 7,300 to 8,400 ) respectively has remained relatively stable over FY2016 to FY2020. However, with the economic impact of COVID-19, there was a significant increase in the number of households assisted with SMTA in FY2020.


With the economic impact of COVID-19 affecting the livelihoods of many households, we also observed more individuals and households staying in bigger flats qualifying for ComCare assistance. From FY2019 to FY2020, the proportion of SMTA households who stayed in HDB 1 - to 2 -room flats decreased ( $52.1 \%$ to $49.1 \%$ ), while the proportion of SMTA households who stayed in HDB 3- to 5 -room flats increased ( $42.1 \%$ to $44.9 \%$ ), reversing the trend from FY2016 to FY2019.


From FY2019 to FY2020, the proportion of SMTA main applicants who were not working decreased ( $56.4 \%$ to $51.6 \%$ ), while the proportion of SMTA main applicants who were working increased (22.3\% to 25.0\%), reversing the trend from FY2016 to FY2019.


## KEY TRENDS

## KEY TRENDS

## I) ComCare Households Assisted

1 The overall number of unique households assisted yearly with SMTA, LTA and SCFA was stable at around 37,000 to 39,000 per year from FY2016 to FY2019 and increased significantly to 46,000 in FY2020. The total number of unique households assisted yearly with LTA (at around 4,100 to 4,400 ) and SCFA (at around 7,300 to 8,400 ) respectively has remained relatively stable over FY2016 to FY2020. However, with the economic impact of COVID-19, there was a significant increase in the number of households assisted with SMTA in FY2020.

Figure 1: Number of Unique Households Assisted, by ComCare Scheme


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year. Hence, the same household might be counted more than once across schemes in a given financial year, if it received assistance from more than one scheme in that year.

2 On the whole, the profiles of households on SMTA and LTA remained broadly similar over the past five financial years. This was observed across the demographic categories of age group, education qualifications, employment status, marital status, gender, dwelling type, household size and household composition.

## II) ComCare Households - Age Group Distribution

## About half of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance main applicants were aged 45-64

3 Households with main applicants aged 45-64 made up approximately half of the households assisted with SMTA. This trend was consistent from FY2016 to FY2020.

4 The proportion of SMTA main applicants aged 55-64 increased from 22.7\% in FY2016 to $24.9 \%$ in FY2019, and to $26.1 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of SMTA main applicants aged 45-54 decreased from 25.6\% in FY2016 to 23.4\% in FY2019, and to 23.2\% in FY2020.

Figure 2a: SMTA Households by Age Group of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. Age was taken as at end of each financial year (i.e. 31 March). There could be main applicants aged below 15 because (i) the adults in the household were incarcerated or (ii) the children were orphans.

5 Within households, the proportion of individuals assisted with SMTA across all age groups remained consistent from FY2016 to FY2020. Individuals aged 19 and below made up slightly over one-third of all individuals assisted with SMTA.

Figure 2b: Individuals Assisted with SMTA by Age Group, FY2016-FY2020

| 100\% | 6.2\% |  | 5.6\% |  | 5.4\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 5.6\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90\% | 3.5\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 3.6\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 3.7\% |  |
|  | 6.0\% |  | 6.4\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 7.0\% |  | 7.3\% |  |
| 80\% | 6.8\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 6.7\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 7.1\% |  |
|  | 7.6\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.0\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 7.0\% |  |
| 70\% | 6.8\% |  | 6.7\% |  | 6.6\% |  | 6.4\% |  | 6.4\% |  |
| 60\% | 5.7\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 5.6\% |  | 5.7\% |  |
|  | 5.7\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 5.9\% |  | 6.0\% |  | 5.9\% |  |
| 50\% | 4.9\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.4\% |  | 5.5\% |  | 5.5\% |  |
|  | 4.7\% |  | 4.8\% |  | 4.7\% |  | 4.8\% |  | 4.6\% |  |
| 40\% | 6.4\% |  | 6.3\% |  | 6.1\% |  | 5.5\% |  | 6.0\% |  |
|  | 7.6\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 8.7\% |  | 8.5\% |  |
| 20\% |  | 35.7\% |  | 36.1\% |  | 36.0\% |  | \} $36.9 \%$ |  | 35.0\% |
| 0\% | FY2016 |  | FY2017 |  | FY2018 |  | FY2019 |  | FY2020 |  |
|  | - <15 | -15-19 | 20-24 |  | - 25-29 | - 30-3 | - 35-39 |  | - 40-44 |  |
|  |  | $\square 50-54$ | $\square 55$ |  | - 60-64 | -65-6 |  | 70 \& abo |  |  |

Note(s):

1. Each individual was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. Age was taken as at end of each financial year (i.e. 31 March).

## The majority of Long-Term Assistance main applicants were aged 65 and above

6 Households with main applicants aged 65 and above remained the largest proportion of households assisted with LTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.
$7 \quad$ The proportion of LTA main applicants aged 65 and above has increased consistently from $77.8 \%$ in FY2016 to 80.6\% in FY2019, and to 81.4\% in FY2020.

Figure 3a: LTA Households by Age Group of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. Age was taken as at end of each financial year (i.e. 31 March). There could be main applicants aged below 15 because (i) the adults in the household were incarcerated or (ii) the children were orphans.

8 Within households, the proportion of individuals assisted with LTA across all age groups remained consistent from FY2016 to FY2020. Individuals aged 65 and above made up about 80\% of all individuals assisted with LTA.

Figure 3b: Individuals Assisted with LTA by Age Group, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):

1. Each individual was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. Age was taken as at end of each financial year (i.e. 31 March).

## III) ComCare Households - Educational Qualifications

## The majority of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance main applicants had secondary-level education or below

9 Households with main applicants with secondary-level education or below remained the largest proportion of households assisted with SMTA. This has been consistent across all years from FY2016 to FY2020.

10 The proportion of SMTA main applicants with secondary-level education or below increased from 75.3\% in FY2016 to 77.3\% in FY2019, and decreased to 72.8\% in FY2020.

Figure 4a: SMTA Households by Educational Qualification of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

11 The majority of households assisted with SMTA had household members with a highest education level of secondary-level and below. This has been consistent across all years from FY2016 to FY2020.

12 The proportion of SMTA households with a highest education level of secondary-level education or below increased from $71.4 \%$ in FY2016 to 72.7\% in FY2019, and decreased to 68.5\% in FY2020.

Figure 4b: SMTA Households by Highest Educational Qualification of Members in Household, FY2016FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

The majority of Long-Term Assistance main applicants had primary-level education or below

13 Households with main applicants with primary-level education or below remained the largest proportion of households assisted with LTA. This has been consistent across all years from FY2016 to FY2020.

14 The proportion of LTA main applicants with primary-level education or below has been decreasing consistently across FY2016 (72.1\%) to FY2019 (69.3\%), reaching 69.1\% in FY2020.

Figure 5a: LTA Households by Educational Qualification of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

15 The majority of households assisted with LTA had household members with a highest education level of primary-level education and below. This has been consistent across all years from FY2016 to FY2020.

16 The proportion of LTA households with a highest education level of primary-level education or below decreased from $70.8 \%$ in FY2016 to 69.1\% in FY2019, and to 68.9\% in FY2020.

Figure 5b: LTA Households by Highest Educational Qualification of Members in Household, FY2016FY2020


Note(s):
Each individual was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

## IV) ComCare Households - Employment Status

## Almost half of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance main applicants were employed or seeking employment

17 Households with main applicants who were either employed or seeking employment made up slightly below half of the households assisted with SMTA. This has been consistent in all years from FY2016 to FY2020.

18 The proportion of SMTA main applicants who were either employed or seeking employment decreased from $46.5 \%$ in FY2016 to $43.1 \%$ in FY2019, and increased to $47.3 \%$ in FY2020.

19 Across the same period, there was an increase in the proportion of retirees from $13.4 \%$ in FY2016 to 15.1\% in FY2019, and to 15.2\% in FY2020.

Figure 6a: SMTA Households by Employment Status of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under respective scheme in each financial year.

20 Those who were either employed or seeking employment made up around $30 \%$ of the individuals assisted with SMTA. This has been consistent in all years from FY2016 to FY2020. The largest proportion of non-working individuals comprised students, averaging $29 \%$ across FY2016 to FY2020.

Figure 6b: Individuals Assisted with SMTA by Employment Status, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each individual was counted once under respective scheme in each financial year.

21 Households with no employed individuals remained the largest proportion of households assisted with SMTA. The proportion of households which had no employed individuals increased from $63.7 \%$ in FY2016 to $66.3 \%$ in FY2019, and decreased to $64.2 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of households with one employed individual decreased from 30.7\% in FY2016 to $28.5 \%$ in FY2019, and increased to $30.1 \%$ in FY2020.

Figure 6c: SMTA Households by Number of Employed Household Members, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under respective scheme in each financial year.

## V) ComCare Households - Marital Status and Gender

## Two-fifths of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance main applicants were married

22 Households with main applicants who were married remained the largest proportion of households assisted with SMTA, followed by those who were widowed/separated/divorced, and the singles. This was consistent between FY2016 and FY2020.

23 The proportion of SMTA main applicants who were married decreased from $38.4 \%$ in FY2016 to $36.6 \%$ in FY2019, and increased to $37.2 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of divorced main applicants increased from 22.4\% in FY2016 to 23.7\% in FY2019, and decreased slightly to 23.5\% in FY2020.

24 Among the singles, the proportion of males remained about twice that of females, across FY2016 to FY2020.

25 The top three marital and gender groups of SMTA main applicants remained consistent across FY2016 to FY2020: married male (19.9\%), single male (18.7\%) and married female (17.3\%) in FY2020.

Figure 7: SMTA Households by Marital Status and Gender of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

## More than two-thirds of Long-Term Assistance main applicants were single

26 Households with main applicants who were single remained the largest proportion of households assisted with LTA. This was consistent between FY2016 and FY2020.

27 The LTA main applicants who were single decreased in proportion slightly, from $70.1 \%$ in FY2016 to 69.2\% in FY2019, and to 69.1\% in FY2020.

28 The proportion of male LTA main applicants made up approximately $65 \%$ of the households assisted with LTA. This was consistent between FY2016 and FY2020.

29 The top two groups of LTA main applicants were single male and single female. This was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020. The proportion of single males decreased from $48.1 \%$ in FY2016 to 47.6\% in FY2019, and to 47.2\% in FY2020. The proportion of single females was about 22\% across FY2016 to FY2020.

30 Divorced males increased in proportion, from 8.5\% in FY2016 to 10.5\% in FY2019, and to $11.1 \%$ in FY2020. Widowed females decreased in proportion, from $7.5 \%$ in FY2016 to $7.2 \%$ in FY2019, and to 6.9\% in FY2020.

Figure 8: LTA Households by Marital Status and Gender of Main Applicant, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

## VI) ComCare Households - Dwelling Type and Household Size

## About half of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance households stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats

31 Households who stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats made up about half of those assisted with SMTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

32 The proportion of households who stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats increased from 48.7\% in FY2016 to 52.1\% in FY2019, and decreased to 49.1\% in FY2020.

33 The proportion of households who stayed in HDB 3- to 4-room flats decreased from 40.3\% in FY2016 to 37.7\% in FY2019, and increased to 39.6\% in FY2020.

Figure 9: SMTA Households by Dwelling Type, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. There were instances of ComCare applicants staying in landed properties. They were not home owners but were staying with family members or friends.

## More than half of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance households were one- to two-person households

34 One- to two-person households made up more than half of those assisted with SMTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

35 The proportion of one- to two-person SMTA households increased from 51.6\% in FY2016 to $64.5 \%$ in FY2019, and decreased to $62.1 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of SMTA households with three or more persons decreased from $48.5 \%$ in FY2016 to 35.5\% in FY2019, and increased to $38.0 \%$ in FY2020.

Figure 10: SMTA Households by Household Size, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

## More than two-thirds of Long-Term Assistance households stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats

36 Households who stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats remained the largest proportion of those assisted with LTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

37 The proportion staying in HDB 1- to 2-room flats increased from 68.9\% in FY2016 to 72.0\% in FY2019, and to $72.8 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion staying in HDB 3- to 4-room flats decreased from 20.7\% in FY2016 to 19.7\% in FY2019, and to 19.4\% in FY2020.

Figure 11: LTA Households by Dwelling Type, FY2016-FY2020


## Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. The number of LTA households included those staying in non-government funded institutions. Individuals staying in government-funded institutions was excluded as their long-term needs were supported using non-ComCare funds.

## The majority of Long-Term Assistance households remained one-person households

38 One-person households remained the largest proportion of those assisted with LTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

39 The one-person LTA households increased in proportion, from 73.5\% in FY2016 to $87.1 \%$ in FY2019, and to 87.4\% in FY2020.

40 The proportion of two-person LTA households decreased from 17.1\% in FY2016 to 9.2\% in FY2019, and to 9.0\% in FY2020.

Figure 12: LTA Households by Household Size, FY2016-FY2020


Note(s):
Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.

## VII) ComCare Households - Household Composition

## Nearly three-fifths of Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance households had elderly and/or children

41 Households with elderly and/or children remained the largest proportion of those assisted with SMTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

42 The SMTA households with elderly and/or young beneficiaries decreased in proportion, from 56.6\% in FY2016 to 54.5\% in FY2019, and to 53.9\% in FY2020.

43 Correspondingly, the proportion of SMTA households with only adults increased from 43.5\% in FY2016 to 45.5\% in FY2019, and to 46.1\% in FY2020.

Figure 13: SMTA Households by Household Composition, FY2016-FY2020


## Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. "Elderly beneficiaries" were defined as those aged 65 and above; "adult beneficiaries" were defined as those aged 21 to 64; and "young beneficiaries" were defined as those aged below 21.

## The majority of Long-Term Assistance households were one-person elderly households

44 One-person elderly households remained the largest proportion of those assisted with LTA. This trend was consistent across FY2016 to FY2020.

45 The proportion of households with one elderly person aged 65 and above increased from $62.3 \%$ in FY2016 to $72.2 \%$ in FY2019, and to $73.0 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of households with one person aged below 65 increased from 10.7\% in FY2016 to 14.9\% in FY2019, and decreased slightly to $14.5 \%$ in FY2020. Households with two or more persons decreased in proportion from 27.1\% in FY2016 to 12.9\% in FY2019, and to 12.6\% in FY2020.

Figure 14: LTA Households by Household Composition, FY2016-FY2020


## Note(s):

1. Each household was counted once under the respective scheme in each financial year.
2. Elderly households were defined as those with main applicants aged 65 and above.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## ComCare Households Assisted

- The overall number of unique households assisted yearly with SMTA, LTA and SCFA was stable from FY2016 to FY2019 and increased significantly in FY2020. The total number of unique households assisted yearly with LTA and SCFA has remained relatively stable over FY2016 to FY2020. However, with the economic impact of COVID-19, there was a significant increase in the number of households assisted with SMTA in FY2020.
- The majority, or 35,749 households, were assisted with SMTA, and 4,078 households were assisted with LTA in FY2020. There were 7,961 households that received SCFA in FY2020.


## Age Group Distribution of ComCare Households

- The proportion of SMTA main applicants aged 55-64 increased from 22.7\% in FY2016 to 24.9\% in FY2019, and to 26.1\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of SMTA main applicants aged 45-54 decreased from 25.6\% in FY2016 to $23.4 \%$ in FY2019, and to 23.2\% in FY2020.
- Within households, the proportion of individuals assisted with SMTA across all age groups remained consistent from FY2016 to FY2020. Individuals aged 19 and below made up slightly over one-third of all individuals assisted with SMTA.
- The proportion of LTA main applicants aged 65 and above has increased consistently from 77.8\% in FY2016 to 80.6\% in FY2019, and to 81.4\% in FY2020.
- Within households, the proportion of individuals assisted with LTA across all age groups remained consistent from FY2016 to FY2020. Individuals aged 65 and above made up about $80 \%$ of all individuals assisted with LTA.


## Educational Qualifications of ComCare Households

- The proportion of SMTA main applicants with secondary-level education or below increased from 75.3\% in FY2016 to 77.3\% in FY2019, and decreased to 72.8\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of SMTA households with a highest education level of secondary-level education or below increased from $71.4 \%$ in FY2016 to $72.7 \%$ in FY2019, and decreased to 68.5\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of LTA main applicants with primary-level education or below has been decreasing consistently across FY2016 (72.1\%) to FY2019 (69.3\%), reaching 69.1\% in

FY2020.

- The proportion of LTA households with a highest education level of primary-level education or below decreased from 70.8\% in FY2016 to 69.1\% in FY2019, and to 68.9\% in FY2020.


## Employment Status of ComCare Households

- The proportion of SMTA main applicants who were either employed or seeking employment decreased from 46.5\% in FY2016 to 43.1\% in FY2019, and increased to 47.3\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of SMTA main applicants who were retirees increased from 13.4\% in FY2016 to $15.1 \%$ in FY2019, and to $15.2 \%$ in FY2020.
- From FY2016 to FY2020, the proportion of individuals assisted with SMTA who were either employed or seeking employment was around $30 \%$. The largest proportion of non-working individuals were students, averaging 29\%.
- The proportion of households which had no employed individuals increased from $63.7 \%$ in FY2016 to 66.3\% in FY2019, and decreased to 64.2\% in FY2020.


## Marital Status and Gender of ComCare Households

- The top three marital and gender groups of SMTA main applicants remained consistent across FY2016 to FY2020: married male (19.9\%), single male (18.7\%) and married female (17.3\%) in FY2020.
- The proportion of SMTA main applicants who were married decreased from 38.4\% in FY2016 to $36.6 \%$ in FY2019, and increased to $37.2 \%$ in FY2020.
- The top two marital and gender groups of LTA main applicants remained consistent across FY2016 to FY2020: single male (47.2\%) and single female (21.9\%) in FY2020.
- The LTA main applicants who were single decreased in proportion slightly, from $70.1 \%$ in FY2016 to 69.2\% in FY2019, and to 69.1\% in FY2020.


## Dwelling Type and Household Size of ComCare Households

- The proportion of households who stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats increased from $48.7 \%$ in FY2016 to 52.1\% in FY2019, and decreased to 49.1\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of households who stayed in HDB 3- to 4-room flats decreased from $40.3 \%$ in FY2016 to 37.7\% in FY2019, and increased to 39.6\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of one- to two-person SMTA households increased from 51.6\% in FY2016 to 64.5\% in FY2019, and decreased to 62.1\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of SMTA households with three or more persons decreased from $48.5 \%$ in FY2016 to 35.5\% in FY2019, and increased to 38.0\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of LTA households who stayed in HDB 1- to 2-room flats increased from 68.9\% in FY2016 to 72.0\% in FY2019, and to 72.8\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of LTA households staying in HDB 3- to 4-room flats decreased from $20.7 \%$ in FY2016 to 19.7\% in FY2019, and to 19.4\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of one-person LTA households increased from $73.5 \%$ in FY2016 to $87.1 \%$ in FY2019, and to 87.4\% in FY2020.
- The proportion of two-person LTA households decreased from 17.1\% in FY2016 to $9.2 \%$ in FY2019, and to 9.0\% in FY2020.


## Household Composition of ComCare Households

- The proportion of SMTA households with elderly (those aged 65 and above) and/or young beneficiaries (those aged below 21) decreased from 56.6\% in FY2016 to 54.5\% in FY2019, and to $53.9 \%$ in FY2020.
- LTA households with one elderly person aged 65 and above increased in proportion, from $62.3 \%$ in FY2016 to $72.2 \%$ in FY2019, and to $73.0 \%$ in FY2020. The proportion of LTA households with one person aged below 65 increased from 10.7\% in FY2016 to $14.9 \%$ in FY2019, and decreased slightly to $14.5 \%$ in FY2020.


## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

## ComCare Households - Age Group Distribution

Table A1: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Age Group of Main Applicant

| Age Group | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2018 | FY2018 (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 (\%) | FY2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2020 } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <15 | 277 | 1.0\% | 402 | 1.40\% | 469 | 1.7\% | 434 | 1.5\% | 438 | 1.2\% |
| 15-19 | 156 | 0.5\% | 221 | 0.80\% | 168 | 0.6\% | 255 | 0.9\% | 295 | 0.8\% |
| 20-24 | 955 | 3.4\% | 882 | 3.20\% | 632 | 2.3\% | 854 | 3.0\% | 1060 | 3.0\% |
| 25-29 | 1,829 | 6.4\% | 1,863 | 6.70\% | 1,596 | 5.9\% | 1,821 | 6.3\% | 2,101 | 5.9\% |
| 30-34 | 2,174 | 7.7\% | 2,127 | 7.60\% | 2,188 | 8.1\% | 2,373 | 8.2\% | 2,833 | 7.9\% |
| 35-39 | 2,515 | 8.9\% | 2,551 | 9.10\% | 2,436 | 9.0\% | 2,616 | 9.1\% | 3,110 | 8.7\% |
| 40-44 | 2,953 | 10.4\% | 2,717 | 9.70\% | 2,439 | 9.0\% | 2,584 | 9.0\% | 3,175 | 8.9\% |
| 45-49 | 3,420 | 12.0\% | 3,347 | 12.00\% | 3,134 | 11.6\% | 3,204 | 11.1\% | 3,841 | 10.7\% |
| 50-54 | 3,858 | 13.6\% | 3,767 | 13.50\% | 3,459 | 12.8\% | 3,548 | 12.3\% | 4,460 | 12.5\% |
| 55-59 | 3,503 | 12.3\% | 3,484 | 12.40\% | 3,279 | 12.1\% | 3,477 | 12.1\% | 4,584 | 12.8\% |
| 60-64 | 2,941 | 10.4\% | 3,188 | 11.40\% | 3,464 | 12.8\% | 3,675 | 12.8\% | 4,741 | 13.3\% |
| 65-69 | 1,477 | 5.2\% | 1,331 | 4.80\% | 1,686 | 6.2\% | 1,677 | 5.8\% | 2,208 | 6.2\% |
| >=70 | 2,351 | 8.3\% | 2,106 | 7.50\% | 2,172 | 8.0\% | 2,289 | 7.9\% | 2,903 | 8.1\% |
| Total | 28,409 | 100.0\% | 27,986 | 100.00\% | 27,122 | 100.0\% | 28,807 | 100.0\% | 35,749 | 100.0\% |

Table A2: Number and Proportion of Individual Assisted with SMTA by Age Group

| Age <br> Group | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{< 1 5}$ | 18,536 | $28.1 \%$ | 18,333 | $28.6 \%$ | 17,681 | $28.8 \%$ | 18,146 | $28.2 \%$ | 21,352 | $26.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | 5,004 | $7.6 \%$ | 4,812 | $7.5 \%$ | 4,420 | $7.2 \%$ | 5,599 | $8.7 \%$ | 6,849 | $8.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | 4,194 | $6.4 \%$ | 4,038 | $6.3 \%$ | 3,731 | $6.1 \%$ | 3,516 | $5.5 \%$ | 4,859 | $6.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | 3,115 | $4.7 \%$ | 3,083 | $4.8 \%$ | 2,901 | $4.7 \%$ | 3,066 | $4.8 \%$ | 3,721 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | 3,234 | $4.9 \%$ | 3,190 | $5.0 \%$ | 3,322 | $5.4 \%$ | 3,567 | $5.5 \%$ | 4,428 | $5.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | 3,735 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,690 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,612 | $5.9 \%$ | 3,833 | $6.0 \%$ | 4,709 | $5.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | 3,789 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,670 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,497 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,601 | $5.6 \%$ | 4,599 | $5.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | 4,481 | $6.8 \%$ | 4,328 | $6.7 \%$ | 4,076 | $6.6 \%$ | 4,131 | $6.4 \%$ | 5,179 | $6.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | 4,991 | $7.6 \%$ | 4,689 | $7.3 \%$ | 4,320 | $7.0 \%$ | 4,402 | $6.8 \%$ | 5,620 | $7.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | 4,461 | $6.8 \%$ | 4,420 | $6.9 \%$ | 4,128 | $6.7 \%$ | 4,364 | $6.8 \%$ | 5,724 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | 3,966 | $6.0 \%$ | 4,140 | $6.4 \%$ | 4,240 | $6.9 \%$ | 4,492 | $7.0 \%$ | 5,891 | $7.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | 2,312 | $3.5 \%$ | 2,215 | $3.5 \%$ | 2,209 | $3.6 \%$ | 2,239 | $3.5 \%$ | 3,016 | $3.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{> = 7 0}$ | 4,092 | $6.2 \%$ | 3,583 | $5.6 \%$ | 3,310 | $5.4 \%$ | 3,424 | $5.3 \%$ | 4,502 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 5 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

Table A3: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Age Group of Main Applicant
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Age } \\ \text { Group }\end{array} & \text { FY2016 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { FY2016 } \\ (\%)\end{array} & \text { FY2017 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { FY2017 } \\ (\%)\end{array} & \text { FY2018 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { FY2018 } \\ (\%)\end{array} & \text { FY2019 } & \text { FY2019 } \\ \text { (\%) }\end{array}\right)$

Table A4: Number and Proportion of Individuals Assisted with LTA by Age Group

| Age Group | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2018 | FY2018 (\%) | FY2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2019 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2019 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <15 | 7 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.1\% | 3 | 0.1\% | 2 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 15-19 | 9 | 0.2\% | 7 | 0.1\% | 8 | 0.2\% | 8 | 0.2\% | 6 | 0.1\% |
| 20-24 | 13 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0.2\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.1\% | 7 | 0.2\% |
| 25-29 | 18 | 0.4\% | 15 | 0.3\% | 13 | 0.3\% | 13 | 0.3\% | 10 | 0.2\% |
| 30-34 | 35 | 0.7\% | 27 | 0.6\% | 26 | 0.6\% | 26 | 0.6\% | 22 | 0.5\% |
| 35-39 | 42 | 0.9\% | 41 | 0.9\% | 34 | 0.8\% | 38 | 0.9\% | 40 | 0.9\% |
| 40-44 | 78 | 1.6\% | 66 | 1.4\% | 68 | 1.5\% | 66 | 1.5\% | 51 | 1.2\% |
| 45-49 | 105 | 2.2\% | 88 | 1.9\% | 78 | 1.7\% | 80 | 1.8\% | 71 | 1.7\% |
| 50-54 | 158 | 3.3\% | 153 | 3.3\% | 134 | 3.0\% | 128 | 2.9\% | 126 | 3.0\% |
| 55-59 | 213 | 4.4\% | 188 | 4.0\% | 179 | 4.0\% | 180 | 4.1\% | 191 | 4.5\% |
| 60-64 | 310 | 6.5\% | 297 | 6.4\% | 307 | 6.8\% | 331 | 7.6\% | 290 | 6.8\% |
| 65-69 | 686 | 14.3\% | 710 | 15.2\% | 628 | 14.0\% | 666 | 15.2\% | 675 | 15.8\% |
| >=70 | 3,114 | 65.0\% | 3,070 | 65.7\% | 3,011 | 67.0\% | 2,830 | 64.7\% | 2,773 | 65.0\% |
| Total | 4,788 | 100.0\% | 4,675 | 100.0\% | 4,495 | 100.0\% | 4,373 | 100.0\% | 4,263 | 100.0\% |

## ComCare Households - Educational Qualifications

Table A5: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Educational Qualification of Main Applicant

| Highest Education <br> Attained | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Formal/Primary <br> Qualification | 10,921 | $38.4 \%$ | 10,803 | $38.6 \%$ | 10,347 | $38.1 \%$ | 11,048 | $38.4 \%$ | 12,455 | $34.8 \%$ |
| Secondary <br> Qualification | 10,487 | $36.9 \%$ | 10,684 | $38.2 \%$ | 10,472 | $38.6 \%$ | 11,201 | $38.9 \%$ | 13,568 | $38.0 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Non-Tertiary) | 2,197 | $7.7 \%$ | 2,360 | $8.4 \%$ | 2,395 | $8.8 \%$ | 2,693 | $9.3 \%$ | 3,351 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Tertiary) | 1,190 | $4.2 \%$ | 1,250 | $4.5 \%$ | 1,195 | $4.4 \%$ | 1,329 | $4.6 \%$ | 1,882 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Special Education/ <br> Other Courses | 298 | $1.0 \%$ | 304 | $1.1 \%$ | 304 | $1.1 \%$ | 340 | $1.2 \%$ | 381 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 3,316 | $11.7 \%$ | 2,585 | $9.2 \%$ | 2,409 | $8.9 \%$ | 2,196 | $\mathbf{7 . 6 \%}$ | 4,112 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table A6: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Highest Educational Qualification of Members in Household

| Highest Education <br> Attained | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Formal/Primary <br> Qualification | 9,003 | $31.7 \%$ | 8,935 | $31.9 \%$ | 8,741 | $32.2 \%$ | 9,202 | $31.9 \%$ | 10,504 | $29.4 \%$ |
| Secondary <br> Qualification | 11,288 | $39.7 \%$ | 11,409 | $40.8 \%$ | 11,170 | $41.2 \%$ | 11,739 | $40.8 \%$ | 13,991 | $39.1 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Non-Tertiary) | 3,081 | $10.8 \%$ | 3,136 | $11.2 \%$ | 3,197 | $11.8 \%$ | 3,575 | $12.4 \%$ | 4,533 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Tertiary) | 1,618 | $5.7 \%$ | 1,645 | $5.9 \%$ | 1,553 | $5.7 \%$ | 1,755 | $6.1 \%$ | 2,730 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Special Education/ <br> Other Courses | 1,083 | $3.8 \%$ | 1,029 | $3.7 \%$ | 899 | $3.3 \%$ | 1,105 | $3.8 \%$ | 1,345 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 2,336 | $8.2 \%$ | 1,832 | $6.5 \%$ | 1,562 | $5.8 \%$ | 1,431 | $5.0 \%$ | 2,646 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table A7: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Educational Qualification of Main Applicant

| Highest Education Attained | FY2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2016 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2017 } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | FY2018 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2018 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2019( } \\ & \text { \%) } \end{aligned}$ | FY2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2020 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Formal/Primary Qualification | 3,165 | 72.1\% | 3,124 | 70.9\% | 2,973 | 69.8\% | 2,879 | 69.3\% | 2,816 | 69.1\% |
| Secondary Qualification | 627 | 14.3\% | 654 | 14.8\% | 631 | 14.8\% | 638 | 15.4\% | 648 | 15.9\% |
| Post-Secondary Qualification (Non-Tertiary) | 71 | 1.6\% | 75 | 1.7\% | 75 | 1.8\% | 70 | 1.7\% | 69 | 1.7\% |
| Post-Secondary Qualification (Tertiary) | 24 | 0.5\% | 26 | 0.6\% | 23 | 0.5\% | 20 | 0.5\% | 21 | 0.5\% |
| Special Education/ Other Courses | 72 | 1.6\% | 72 | 1.6\% | 73 | 1.7\% | 71 | 1.7\% | 67 | 1.6\% |
| Not Reported | 428 | 9.8\% | 458 | 10.4\% | 486 | 11.4\% | 478 | 11.5\% | 457 | 11.2\% |
| Total | 4,387 | 100.0\% | 4,409 | 100.0\% | 4,261 | 100.0\% | 4,156 | 100.0\% | 4,078 | 100.0\% |

Table A8: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Highest Educational Qualification of Members in Household

| Highest Education <br> Attained | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Formal/Primary <br> Qualification | 3,106 | $70.8 \%$ | 3,076 | $69.8 \%$ | 2,941 | $69.0 \%$ | 2,871 | $69.1 \%$ | 2,811 | $68.9 \%$ |
| Secondary <br> Qualification | 641 | $14.6 \%$ | 664 | $15.1 \%$ | 647 | $15.2 \%$ | 641 | $15.4 \%$ | 655 | $16.1 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Non-Tertiary) | 76 | $1.7 \%$ | 32 | $1.7 \%$ | 77 | $1.8 \%$ | 77 | $1.9 \%$ | 75 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Post-Secondary <br> Qualification <br> (Tertiary) | 20 | $0.5 \%$ | 67 | $0.5 \%$ | 20 | $0.5 \%$ | 19 | $0.5 \%$ | 21 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Special Education / <br> Other Courses | 87 | $2.0 \%$ | 85 | $1.9 \%$ | 81 | $1.9 \%$ | 79 | $1.9 \%$ | 70 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 457 | $10.4 \%$ | 485 | $11.0 \%$ | 495 | $11.6 \%$ | 469 | $11.3 \%$ | 446 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## ComCare Households - Employment Status

Table A9: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Employment Status of Main Applicant

| Employment <br> Status | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working | 7,022 | $24.7 \%$ | 6,595 | $23.6 \%$ | 6,347 | $23.4 \%$ | 6,414 | $22.3 \%$ | 8,946 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Seeking <br> Employment | 6,181 | $21.8 \%$ | 6,038 | $21.6 \%$ | 5,421 | $20.0 \%$ | 5,998 | $20.8 \%$ | 7,957 | $22.3 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Medically Unfit | 6,031 | $21.2 \%$ | 6,302 | $22.5 \%$ | 6,083 | $22.4 \%$ | 6,155 | $21.4 \%$ | 6,056 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Retirees | 3,798 | $13.4 \%$ | 3,620 | $12.9 \%$ | 3,616 | $13.3 \%$ | 4,349 | $15.1 \%$ | 5,416 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Students | 428 | $1.5 \%$ | 600 | $2.1 \%$ | 665 | $2.5 \%$ | 642 | $2.2 \%$ | 710 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Others | 4,729 | $16.6 \%$ | 4,745 | $17.0 \%$ | 4,651 | $17.1 \%$ | 5,105 | $17.7 \%$ | 6,268 | $17.5 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 220 | $0.8 \%$ | 86 | $0.3 \%$ | 339 | $1.2 \%$ | 144 | $0.5 \%$ | 396 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table A10: Number and Proportion of Individuals Assisted with SMTA by Employment Status

| Employment <br> Status | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019(\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working | 11,665 | $17.7 \%$ | 11,218 | $17.5 \%$ | 10,917 | $17.8 \%$ | 10,997 | $17.1 \%$ | 15,050 | $18.7 \%$ |
| Seeking <br> Employment | 7,806 | $11.8 \%$ | 7,709 | $12.0 \%$ | 7,111 | $11.6 \%$ | 7,882 | $12.2 \%$ | 10,718 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Medically Unfit | 7,150 | $10.8 \%$ | 7,360 | $11.5 \%$ | 7,180 | $11.7 \%$ | 7,242 | $11.2 \%$ | 7,155 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Retirees | 5,404 | $8.2 \%$ | 5,136 | $8.0 \%$ | 5,201 | $8.5 \%$ | 5,983 | $9.3 \%$ | 7,783 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Students | 18,975 | $28.8 \%$ | 18,743 | $29.2 \%$ | 17,869 | $29.1 \%$ | 18,504 | $28.7 \%$ | 22,583 | $28.1 \%$ |
| Not Working - <br> Others | 12,803 | $19.4 \%$ | 12,512 | $19.5 \%$ | 12,029 | $19.6 \%$ | 13,449 | $20.9 \%$ | 16,657 | $20.7 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 2,107 | $3.2 \%$ | 1,513 | $2.4 \%$ | 1,140 | $1.9 \%$ | 323 | $0.5 \%$ | 503 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 5 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table A11: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Number of Employed Household Members

| Number of Employed Household Members | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 (\%) | FY2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2020 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 18,090 | 63.7\% | 18,053 | 64.5\% | 17,506 | 64.5\% | 19,094 | 66.3\% | 22,948 | 64.2\% |
| 1 | 8,731 | 30.7\% | 8,398 | 30.0\% | 8,049 | 29.7\% | 8,207 | 28.5\% | 10,761 | 30.1\% |
| 2 | 1,460 | 5.1\% | 1,408 | 5.0\% | 1,443 | 5.3\% | 1,365 | 4.7\% | 1,833 | 5.1\% |
| 3 or more | 128 | 0.5\% | 127 | 0.5\% | 124 | 0.5\% | 141 | 0.5\% | 207 | 0.6\% |
| Total | 28,409 | 100.0\% | 27,986 | 100.0\% | 27,122 | 100.0\% | 28,807 | 100.0\% | 35,749 | 100.0\% |

## ComCare Households - Marital Status and Gender

Table A12: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Marital Status and Gender of Main Applicant

| Marital Status | Gender | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2018 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2018 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | FY2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2019 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | FY2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2020 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | Female | 2,482 | 8.7\% | 2,581 | 9.2\% | 2,598 | 9.6\% | 2,740 | 9.5\% | 3,485 | 9.7\% |
|  | Male | 5,306 | 18.7\% | 5,375 | 19.2\% | 5,368 | 19.8\% | 5,617 | 19.5\% | 6,671 | 18.7\% |
| Married | Female | 5,450 | 19.2\% | 5,317 | 19.0\% | 5,052 | 18.6\% | 5,296 | 18.4\% | 6,173 | 17.3\% |
|  | Male | 5,446 | 19.2\% | 5,298 | 18.9\% | 5,023 | 18.5\% | 5,257 | 18.2\% | 7,125 | 19.9\% |
| Widowed | Female | 1,319 | 4.6\% | 1,196 | 4.3\% | 1,078 | 4.0\% | 1,185 | 4.1\% | 1,421 | 4.0\% |
|  | Male | 462 | 1.6\% | 454 | 1.6\% | 413 | 1.5\% | 433 | 1.5\% | 515 | 1.4\% |
| Separated | Female | 685 | 2.4\% | 704 | 2.5\% | 676 | 2.5\% | 680 | 2.4\% | 789 | 2.2\% |
|  | Male | 530 | 1.9\% | 540 | 1.9\% | 548 | 2.0\% | 602 | 2.1\% | 699 | 2.0\% |
| Divorced | Female | 3,262 | 11.5\% | 3,253 | 11.6\% | 3,152 | 11.6\% | 3,409 | 11.8\% | 4,167 | 11.7\% |
|  | Male | 3,099 | 10.9\% | 3,153 | 11.3\% | 3,136 | 11.6\% | 3,437 | 11.9\% | 4,211 | 11.8\% |
| Not reported | Female | 153 | 0.5\% | 48 | 0.2\% | 25 | 0.1\% | 78 | 0.3\% | 214 | 0.6\% |
|  | Male | 215 | 0.8\% | 67 | 0.2\% | 53 | 0.2\% | 73 | 0.3\% | 279 | 0.8\% |
| Total | Female | 13,351 | 47.0\% | 13,099 | 46.8\% | 12,581 | 46.4\% | 13,388 | 46.5\% | 16,249 | 45.5\% |
|  | Male | 15,058 | 53.0\% | 14,887 | 53.2\% | 14,541 | 53.6\% | 15,419 | 53.5\% | 19,500 | 54.5\% |

Table A13: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Marital Status and Gender of Main Applicant

| Marital Status | Gender | FY2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2016 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2O20 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | Female | 965 | 22.0\% | 970 | 22.0\% | 924 | 21.7\% | 898 | 21.6\% | 892 | 21.9\% |
|  | Male | 2,111 | 48.1\% | 2,094 | 47.5\% | 2,036 | 47.8\% | 1,980 | 47.6\% | 1,925 | 47.2\% |
| Married | Female | 70 | 1.6\% | 69 | 1.6\% | 63 | 1.5\% | 60 | 1.4\% | 58 | 1.4\% |
|  | Male | 190 | 4.3\% | 174 | 3.9\% | 144 | 3.4\% | 131 | 3.2\% | 124 | 3.0\% |
| Widowed | Female | 327 | 7.5\% | 322 | 7.3\% | 310 | 7.3\% | 299 | 7.2\% | 280 | 6.9\% |
|  | Male | 131 | 3.0\% | 138 | 3.1\% | 134 | 3.1\% | 130 | 3.1\% | 117 | 2.9\% |
| Separated | Female | 19 | 0.4\% | 18 | 0.4\% | 19 | 0.4\% | 19 | 0.5\% | 18 | 0.4\% |
|  | Male | 40 | 0.9\% | 45 | 1.0\% | 45 | 1.1\% | 42 | 1.0\% | 42 | 1.0\% |
| Divorced | Female | 139 | 3.2\% | 150 | 3.4\% | 144 | 3.4\% | 144 | 3.5\% | 156 | 3.8\% |
|  | Male | 372 | 8.5\% | 411 | 9.3\% | 422 | 9.9\% | 437 | 10.5\% | 451 | 11.1\% |
| Not reported | Female | 14 | 0.3\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 8 | 0.2\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 7 | 0.2\% |
|  | Male | 9 | 0.2\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 12 | 0.3\% | 10 | 0.2\% | 8 | 0.2\% |
| Total | Female | 1,534 | 35.0\% | 1,538 | 34.9\% | 1,468 | 34.5\% | 1,426 | 34.3\% | 1,411 | 34.6\% |
|  | Male | 2,853 | 65.0\% | 2,871 | 65.1\% | 2,793 | 65.5\% | 2,730 | 65.7\% | 2,667 | 65.4\% |

## ComCare Households - Dwelling Type and Household Size

Table A14: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Dwelling Type

| Dwelling Type | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> $(\%)$ | FY2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Table A15: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Dwelling Type

| Dwelling Type | FY2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2016 } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | FY2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2018 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2018 } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2019 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | FY2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2020 } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HDB 1- to 2-Room | 3,023 | 68.9\% | 3,041 | 69.0\% | 3,031 | 71.1\% | 2,994 | 72.0\% | 2,970 | 72.8\% |
| HDB 3-Room | 492 | 11.2\% | 482 | 10.9\% | 444 | 10.4\% | 436 | 10.5\% | 430 | 10.5\% |
| HDB 4-Room | 415 | 9.5\% | 406 | 9.2\% | 399 | 9.4\% | 383 | 9.2\% | 364 | 8.9\% |
| HDB 5-Room and Executive | 117 | 2.7\% | 123 | 2.8\% | 109 | 2.6\% | 110 | 2.6\% | 103 | 2.5\% |
| Institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 235 | 5.4\% | 224 | 5.1\% | 175 | 4.1\% | 153 | 3.7\% | 133 | 3.3\% |
| Condominiums and Other Apartments | 8 | 0.2\% | 7 | 0.2\% | 7 | 0.2\% | 8 | 0.2\% | 7 | 0.2\% |
| Landed Properties | 9 | 0.2\% | 10 | 0.2\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 9 | 0.2\% |
| Others - Interim Rental Housing / Shop houses etc. | 53 | 1.2\% | 79 | 1.8\% | 37 | 0.9\% | 46 | 1.1\% | 15 | 0.4\% |
| Not Reported | 35 | 0.8\% | 37 | 0.8\% | 50 | 1.2\% | 17 | 0.4\% | 47 | 1.2\% |
| Total | 4,387 | 100.0\% | 4,409 | 100.0\% | 4,261 | 100.0\% | 4,156 | 100.0\% | 4,078 | 100.0\% |

[^0]Table A16: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Household Size

| Household <br> Size | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 | FY2019 | FY2019 | FY2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%) | FY2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 9,400 | $33.1 \%$ | 12,518 | $44.7 \%$ | 12,647 | $46.6 \%$ | 13,531 | $47.0 \%$ | 15,572 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5,257 | $18.5 \%$ | 4,959 | $17.7 \%$ | 4,694 | $17.3 \%$ | 5,044 | $17.5 \%$ | 6,601 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 4,283 | $15.1 \%$ | 3,768 | $13.5 \%$ | 3,564 | $13.1 \%$ | 3,737 | $13.0 \%$ | 4,885 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 3,657 | $12.9 \%$ | 3,010 | $10.8 \%$ | 2,699 | $10.0 \%$ | 2,745 | $9.5 \%$ | 3,749 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2,433 | $8.6 \%$ | 1,865 | $6.7 \%$ | 1,737 | $6.4 \%$ | 1,837 | $6.4 \%$ | 2,442 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ or more | 3,379 | $11.9 \%$ | 1,866 | $6.7 \%$ | 1,781 | $6.6 \%$ | 1,913 | $6.6 \%$ | 2,500 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 4 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |  |

Table A17: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Household Size

| Household <br> Size | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 | FY2019 | FY2019 <br> (\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3,225 | $73.5 \%$ | 3,667 | $83.2 \%$ | 3,664 | $86.0 \%$ | 3,621 | $87.1 \%$ | 3,566 | $87.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 751 | $17.1 \%$ | 512 | $11.6 \%$ | 423 | $9.9 \%$ | 384 | $9.2 \%$ | 367 | $9.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 231 | $5.3 \%$ | 139 | $3.2 \%$ | 103 | $2.4 \%$ | 90 | $2.2 \%$ | 87 | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 92 | $2.1 \%$ | 43 | $1.0 \%$ | 34 | $0.8 \%$ | 31 | $0.7 \%$ | 31 | $0.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 38 | $0.9 \%$ | 25 | $0.6 \%$ | 17 | $0.4 \%$ | 14 | $0.3 \%$ | 12 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ or more | 50 | $1.1 \%$ | 23 | $0.5 \%$ | 20 | $0.5 \%$ | 16 | $0.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## ComCare Households - Household Composition

Table A18: Number and Proportion of SMTA Households by Household Composition

| Household <br> Profile | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 <br> (\%) | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019(\%) | FY2020 | FY2020 <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households <br> with all adults <br> (including <br> elderly) | 4,277 | $15.1 \%$ | 3,857 | $13.8 \%$ | 3,424 | $12.6 \%$ | 4,435 | $15.4 \%$ | 5,747 | $16.1 \%$ |
| Households <br> with young <br> beneficiaries | 11,103 | $39.1 \%$ | 10,978 | $39.2 \%$ | 10,630 | $39.2 \%$ | 10,652 | $37.0 \%$ | 12,768 | $35.7 \%$ |
| Households <br> with adults, <br> elderly and <br> young | 669 | $2.4 \%$ | 556 | $2.0 \%$ | 547 | $2.0 \%$ | 607 | $2.1 \%$ | 742 | $2.1 \%$ |
| beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households <br> with all adults <br> (excluding <br> elderly) | 12,360 | $43.5 \%$ | 12,595 | $45.0 \%$ | 12,521 | $46.2 \%$ | 13,113 | $45.5 \%$ | 16,492 | $46.1 \%$ |

Table A19: Number and Proportion of LTA Households by Household Composition

| Household <br> Profile | FY2016 | FY2016 <br> (\%) | FY2017 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2018 <br> (\%) | FY2019 | FY2019 | FY2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%) | FY2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:     needs were supported using non-ComCare funds.

